-					
Case	3:12-cv-00934-N	Document 18	Filed 07/19/12	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Page Thein DISTRICT COURT FILED	
			ES DISTRICT C RICT OF TEXA DIVISION		
UNITED STA	TES OF AMERICA	§ 8		Deputy	
VS.		\{\} \{\} \{\}	NO. 3-05	5-CR-0067-N 2-CV-0934-N	
ROBERT L. MIHAILOVICH		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	140. 5-12	201 093111	
	Defendant.	§			
ORDER OF THE COURT ON THE FOREGOING RECOMMENDATION					
Considering the record in this case and the above recommendation, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), the Court hereby finds and orders:					
IFP S	TATUS:				
(X) () ()	() the party appealing is proceeding in forma pauperis.				
	the Court certifies, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 24(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (a)(3), that the appeal is not taken in good faith. In support of this finding, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation entered in this case on Based upon the Magistrate Judge's findings, this Court finds that the appeal presents no legal points of arguable merit and is therefore frivolous. <i>See Harkins v. Roberts</i> , 935 F. Supp. 871, 873 (S. D. Miss. 1996) (citing <i>Howard v. King</i> , 707 F. 2d 215, 219-20 (5 th Cir. 1983)).				

) the person appealing is not a pauper; the person appealing has not complied with the requirements of Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and /or 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) as ordered by the Court. (See Notice of Deficiency and Order entered on _____